

Hypervalent halogen chemistry

Edited by Tanja Gulder and Joanna Wencel-Delord

Imprint

Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry www.bjoc.org ISSN 1860-5397 Email: journals-support@beilstein-institut.de

The *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* is published by the Beilstein-Institut zur Förderung der Chemischen Wissenschaften.

Beilstein-Institut zur Förderung der Chemischen Wissenschaften Trakehner Straße 7–9 60487 Frankfurt am Main Germany www.beilstein-institut.de The copyright to this document as a whole, which is published in the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry*, is held by the Beilstein-Institut zur Förderung der Chemischen Wissenschaften. The copyright to the individual articles in this document is held by the respective authors, subject to a Creative Commons Attribution license.



SOMOphilic alkyne vs radical-polar crossover approaches: The full story of the azido-alkynylation of alkenes

Julien Borrel and Jerome Waser*



Abstract

We report the detailed background for the discovery and development of the synthesis of homopropargylic azides by the azidoalkynylation of alkenes. Initially, a strategy involving SOMOphilic alkynes was adopted, but only resulted in a 29% yield of the desired product. By switching to a radical-polar crossover approach and after optimization, a high yield (72%) of the homopropargylic azide was reached. Full insights are given about the factors that were essential for the success of the optimization process.

Introduction

Homopropargylic azides are important building blocks bearing two of the most versatile functional groups, allowing a rich panel of functionalization. They have been used as intermediates in numerous syntheses to access bioactive compounds [1-4] or materials [5-7]. In addition, azide reduction affords homopropargylic amines, which can be found in bioactive molecules and have been tested in structure–activity relationship studies (Scheme 1A) [8-10]. Moreover, transformations have been developed to exploit the two functional groups simultaneously, for example through their intramolecular cyclization to form pyrroles in the presence of transition metal catalysts [11-14].

Currently, this motif is synthesized by sequential introduction of the two functional groups [11-13]. Addition of a lithium acetylide to an epoxide affords the corresponding homopropargylic alcohol which can then undergo a sequence of mesylation and substitution with azide ions to produce the desired homopropargylic azide. However, this approach gives only access to products bearing the alkyne at the least substituted position. To the best of our knowledge, no general strategy has been employed to access the other regioisomer possessing a terminal azide, despite its implication in the synthesis of complex molecules [3,6]. Therefore, the development of a straightforward reaction to synthesize homopropargylic azides would be of general interest.

The azido-alkynylation of alkenes would allow to generate the desired motif in a single step, greatly increasing the molecular



complexity of the starting substrate. Using radical chemistry would lead to a regioselective addition of azide radicals to the alkene, forming selectively the most stabilized C-centered radical. A prominent method for the generation of azide radicals relies on hypervalent iodine reagents [15,16]. Azidobenziodoxolone, also known as Zhdankin reagent, has often been used under thermal or photochemical conditions to generate the desired azide radical in a controlled fashion. However, recent safety issues arising from the shock and impact sensitivity of the compound led to the development of the azidobenziodazolone scaffold [17]. This class of derivative showed an improved safety profile while retaining the redox properties of the original reagent.

A single example of azido-alkynylation has been reported by Ramasastry and co-workers during a mechanistic study for an azido-hydration reaction [18]. The homopropargylic azide was obtained in only 28% yield using phenyl vinyl ketone. Based on reported aza-alkynylation reactions [19-23] and modern azidation methods using radical chemistry [17,24-26] three approaches could be envisaged. All of them would initially involve the addition of azide radicals to an alkene, generating a carbon-centered radical. Then, different trapping of this intermediate could be performed (Scheme 1B).

First, C-centered radicals are known to recombine with metalacetylides, in particular copper [27]. Reductive elimination of the organometallic intermediate would lead to the desired product (Scheme 1B, reaction 1). Unfortunately, this approach will not be compatible in the case of azidation since the copper, azides and alkynes present in the mixture are expected to undergo alkyne–azide cycloaddition reactions [28]. Moreover, different azide sources are known to efficiently promote the diazidation of alkenes in the presence of a copper catalyst, often proceeding via a radical mechanism [24,29-31].

A second approach would involve SOMOphilic alkynes to trap the radical by a purely open-shell mechanism (Scheme 1B, reaction 2). Two classes of reagents are commonly used: ethynylbenziodoxolones (EBXs) [32,33] and alkynylsulfones [34]. A potential limitation of this method lies in the substitution of the transferred alkyne. The efficiency of the radical addition to those reagents is known to be highly dependent on the alkyne substituent. Arylalkynes are expected to perform well but in multiple cases alkyl substituents were reported to afford low yields or no reaction [35-38].

Finally, a radical-polar crossover (RPC) approach could be envisaged [39,40]. Instead of attempting to trap the C-centered radical, further oxidation would generate the corresponding carbocation, which upon reaction with a nucleophilic alkyne would form the product (Scheme 1B, reaction 3). Based on precedence in the literature, this method should allow to transfer efficiently both aryl- and alkyl-substituted alkynes [41-44]. On the other hand, the nature of the alkene might be limited as it would strongly influence the oxidation potential of the carbon radical and the stability of the resulting carbocation. Recently, we reported the first successful application of an RPC strategy for the azido-alkynylation of styrenes [45].

Herein, we describe our initial effort towards developing an azido-alkynylation of alkenes using the SOMOphilic alkyne approach instead. Then, the optimization of the RPC strategy will be discussed in detail, giving insights into the different steps of the optimization, which were available only as raw data in our previous work [45].

Results and Discussion SOMOphilic alkynes

We started to investigate the azido-alkynylation of styrene (1a) using EBX reagent 2 as SOMOphilic alkyne (Table 1). Tosylazidobenziodazolone (Ts-ABZ, 3), previously developed by our group [17], was selected as an azide source. Upon light irradiation, it can release an azide radical by homolysis of the I-N₃ bond [46]. We were pleased to see that irradiation of a mixture of styrene (1a), Ph-EBX (2) and Ts-ABZ (3) afforded 17% isolated yield of the desired homopropargylic azide 4a (Table 1, entry 1). Heating the reaction to 80 °C instead of using light to form the radical only afforded traces of the product (Table 1, entry 2). Changing the solvent to DCE slightly increased the yield (Table 1, entry 3). Blue light with an emission spectrum centered around 467 nm was initially selected since Ph-EBX is known to absorb light of lower wavelength, which is expected to cause degradation [47]. Indeed, when the reaction was carried out using 440 nm blue light a lower yield of 10% was obtained and full conversion of the EBX reagent was observed (Table 1, entry 4). Next, we wanted to test different additives in the transformation in an attempt to increase the yield. Addition of acetoxybenziodoxolone (AcOBX) has previously been re-

Table 1:	Optimization of the azi	do-alkynylation using Ph-EBX.		
	Ph + 1a (1.5 equiv)	Ph-EBX (2, 1 equiv)	TsN-I-N ₃ additive (1.5 eq solvent, rt, 16 blue light (467 r (3, 1 equiv)	$\frac{uiv)}{h} \qquad Ph \\ H \\ hm) \qquad Ph \qquad N_3 \\ 4a$
Entry	Solvent	Additive	Equiv variation	Yield 4a (%) ^a
1	CH ₃ CN	_	_	(17)
2 ^b	CH ₃ CN	-	_	<5
3	DCE	-	_	19
4 ^c	DCE	-	_	10
5	DCE	AcOBX		18
6	DCE	(TMS) ₃ SiH	-	-
7	DCE	(iPr) ₂ O	-	16
8	DCE	L-ascorbic acid	-	16
9	DCE	Hantzsch ester	-	_
10	CH ₃ CN	HCOONa	-	8
11	DCE	DABCO, TBAI	additive (1.1 equiv)	-
12	DCE	AcOH, TFA, TFE	-	20
13	DCE	HFIP	-	24
14	DCE	HFIP	styrene (2 equiv)	24
15	DCE	HFIP	Ts-ABZ (1.5 equiv)	29

^aNMR yield determined using CH₂Br₂ as internal standard, yield in parenthesis correspond to the isolated yield. ^bReaction was heated to 80 °C without light irradiation. ^cReaction carried out using blue light (440 nm).

ported to help initiating the degradation of Ts-ABZ (3) to the azidyl radical [46]. In our case, no difference in yield was observed (Table 1, entry 5). Currently, the reaction is expected to generate a large quantity of iodanyl radical from Ts-ABZ (3) homolysis and from the addition-elimination on Ph-EBX (2). Since no quencher is present in the mixture, we wondered if the accumulation of those radicals could be responsible for the low yields obtained. Addition of (TMS)₃SiH, a H[•] donor, suppressed the reaction by reducing Ph-EBX (2) (Table 1, entry 6). Using diisopropyl ether as a milder donor had no effect on the reaction (Table 1, entry 7). Next, we tested reducing agents expected to react with the iodanyl radical. The addition of L-ascorbic acid led to no improvement of yield and Hantzsch ester suppressed the formation of the desired product (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). Carrying out the reaction in the presence of sodium formate, which can play a dual role of H[•] donor and reductant [48,49], only led to a decreased of Ph-EBX (2) conversion, along with a diminished yield (Table 1, entry 10). The addition of DABCO [18] or TBAI [50], two additives known to activate azidobenziodoxolone (ABX), afforded complex mixtures with no trace of 4a (Table 1, entry 11). Acids or fluorinated alcohols were tested to activate the different hypervalent iodine reagents. While AcOH, TFA and TFE had no impact on the reaction (Table 1, entry 12), the presence of 1.5 equivalents of HFIP slightly improved the yield (Table 1, entry 13). Increasing the amount of styrene in the reaction had no impact (Table 1, entry 14), highlighting that the issue might come from an inefficient trapping of the C-centered radical by Ph-EBX (2) and not from a sluggish addition of azide radicals to the double bond. We attempted to solve this issue by using Ts-ABZ (3) in excess, which should increase the overall quantity of carbon radicals formed, doing so slightly improved the yield of 4a to 29% with no styrene remaining (Table 1, entry 15).

Styrene was initially selected as model substrate since the addition of azide radicals generated by ABX was well reported [24,29]. We wanted to explore different classes of alkenes as the double bond substitution would greatly impact both the azide radical addition and the reactivity of the C-centered radical with Ph-EBX (2). Aliphatic alkenes, enamides, enol ethers and acrylates were tested in the reaction but did not lead to formation of the desired products (Scheme S1, Supporting Information File 1). In almost all cases >70% of the EBX reagent was left after 16 hours of reaction.

Radical-polar crossover

Due to the disappointing results obtained with EBX reagents as SOMOphilic alkynes, we turned our attention to the development of a radical-polar crossover approach using photoredox catalysis. The final results obtained were described in our previous publication [45], but only the raw data for optimization was given in the form of tables in the Supporting Information. We now provide full insights into the different steps of the optimization process, highlighting the decisions taken and the unexpected results obtained.

Ts-ABZ (3) was kept as the azide radical source since it is known to be reduced by photocatalysts such as Cu(dap)₂Cl [17]. This perfectly fits a catalytic cycle involving the reduction of Ts-ABZ (3) followed by oxidation of the carbon radical to form a carbocation and regenerate the ground state catalyst. Styrene (1a) was used as model substrate since the formation of a stabilized carbocation might be required for the reaction to occur. Xu [41] and Molander [42] previously reported the quenching of similar cationic species by alkynyl-BF3K salts. Boronate 5a was therefore selected as nucleophilic alkyne. Gratifyingly, using Cu(dap)₂Cl in DCE under blue light irradiation afforded 4a in 17% NMR yield (Table 2, entry 1). The major byproduct formed during the transformation was identified as diazide 6. When a copper photocatalyst is involved, a lot of diazidation can be observed. We assumed it could be caused by the reaction of Ts-ABZ (3) with a non-complexed copper catalyst formed during the transformation [24,51]. When iridium-based photocatalysts were tested, no product formation or only traces were observed (Table 2, entries 2 and 3). Using Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂·6H₂O afforded 17% of 4a, a similar yield as with Cu(dap)₂Cl with a reduced formation of 6 (Table 2, entry 4). In contrast, Ru(bpz)₃(PF₆)₂ did not form the desired product probably due to its too high reduction potential compared to Ts-ABZ (3) (value reported for ABX: $E_{1/2}(ABX) = -0.43$ V vs SCE) [52] (Table 2, entry 5). In general, organic dyes could not catalyze the transformation except for 4ClDPAIPN which afforded 10% of yield of 4a (Table 2, entries 6–10).

No correlation between the different redox potentials of the photocatalysts and the yield of the reaction could be established. $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2\cdot 6H_2O$ was selected as the optimal catalyst since it afforded the highest yield and minimized diazide formation.

Next, a solvent screening was performed as it can vastly influence reaction proceeding via a carbocation intermediate. Alkynyltrifluoroborates have a low solubility in chlorinated solvents but are well soluble in acetonitrile. Although this solvent has been used in similar transformation before [41,42,44], in our case only 9% of the desired product was obtained (Table 3, entry 2). A large quantity of product resulting from a Ritter-type reaction between acetonitrile and the carbocation intermediate could be observed by NMR [55]. Other highly polar solvents such as DMF and DMSO did not afford the homopropargylic azide (Table 3, entry 3). While alkynyl-BF₃K salts are usually well soluble in acetone, carrying the reaction in this solvent



^aThe data were already published in the supporting information of ref. [45] except for the yield of **6**. ^bNMR yield determined using CH₂Br₂ as internal standard. ^cValue taken from reference [53]. ^dValue taken from reference [54].



only afforded traces of the product (Table 3, entry 4). Ethyl acetate or ether solvents such as dioxane and THF led to similar or slightly reduced yields (Table 3, entry 5). We were pleased to see that running the reaction in DME afforded 36% of **4a** (Table 3, entry 6). A mixture of DME with HFIP, known

to stabilize carbocationic intermediates [56], slightly increased the yield (Table 3, entry 7).

DME was selected for further optimization as the increased yield with the addition of HFIP was not significant enough to compensate the downside of having an expensive co-solvent. Next, the stoichiometry of the different reaction components was examined. When styrene (1a) was used as limiting reagent instead of Ts-ABZ (3), a slightly higher yield was observed (Table 4, entries 1 and 2). Increasing the excess of Ts-ABZ (3) from 1.25 to 1.5 equivalents had no impact on the reaction (Table 4, entry 3). Reducing the equivalents of 5a to 1.25 only slightly diminished the yield while using 3 equivalents increased it to 44% (Table 4, entries 4 and 5). Surprisingly, 5a could be used as limiting reagent without impacting the reaction (Table 4, entry 6). Carrying out the azido-alkynylation at low or high photocatalyst loading had no impact (Table 4, entry 7).

Considering the robustness of the reaction to fluctuation in stoichiometry, conditions using styrene (1a) as limiting reagent, slight excess of Ts-ABZ (3) and 2 equivalents of 5a were selected, while keeping in mind that further fine-tuning can be done (Table 4, entry 2). They offered the best compromise of yield, waste of materials and reproducibility at this scale. So far, the reactions were carried out for 16 hours as it is typical for

Table 4: Eq	uivalent screening. ^a		
Ph	5a, Ts-ABZ (3) Ru(bpy) ₃ Cl ₂ ·6H ₂ O (2 mol %) → DME (0.05 M), rt, 16 h blue light (467 nm) Ph		
1a		4a	
Entry	Ts-ABZ/ 1a/5a (equiv)	Yield 4a (%) ^b	
1	1/1.5/2	36	
2	1.25/1/2	42	
3	1.5/1/2	41	
4	1.25/1/1.25	39	
5	1.25/1/3	44	
6	1.5/1.5/1	43	
-0		<u></u>	

^a The data were already published in the supporting information of ret. [45]. ^bNMR yield determined using CH₂Br₂ as internal standard. ^c1 or 5 mol % of photocatalyst were used.

photocatalyzed reaction to be slow. We realized that full conversion of the alkene was reached very rapidly. In fact, the reaction could be carried out for 1.5 h with no difference in yield (Table 5, entries 1 and 2). It is only below this reaction time that a difference was observed, 21% of product was still formed after 10 min of reaction (Table 5, entries 3 and 4). We then turned our attention to the light source used to irradiate the reaction. Initially, a homemade set-up using blue LED strips was used. When it was replaced by a commercially available Kessil lamp of the same wavelength and intensity we observed a similar yield (Table 5, entry 5). Reducing the strength of the irradiation from 44 to 22 W had no impact, similarly using a more energetic wavelength afforded the same yield (Table 5, entries 6 and 7). When lower energy light source such as green LEDs or a compact fluorescent lamp (CFL) were used only a small decrease in yield could be observed, although 4 hours of irradiation were needed to reach full conversion using CFL (Table 5, entries 8 and 9). The concentration, a factor expected to play an important role in a three-component reaction, had surprisingly little influence on the transformation. At lower concentration only a slight decrease of yield was observed, whereas higher concentration led to a similar yield (Table 5, entries 10 and 11).

The source of nucleophilic alkyne was evaluated, changing the counter ion from potassium to tetrabutylammonium (7) reduced the yield to 14% (Scheme 2A). When TMS-alkyne 8 was used, no product formation occurred. In this case, we observed that the initial reaction mixture before light irradiation was colorless. This was surprising, as in all the previous experiments a yellow/orange mixture was obtained due to the presence of the photocatalyst. Further investigation revealed that $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2\cdot 6H_2O$ is not soluble in DME (Scheme 2B). In

Table 5: Re	eaction time, light source	and concentration screening. ^a		
	TsN-I-N ₃ 0 +	Ph + Ph BF ₃ K	Ru(bpy) ₃ Cl ₂ ·6H ₂ O (2 DME (concentration), light source	mol %)
Ts-A	BZ (3 , 1.25 equiv)	1a 5a (2 equiv)		4a
Entry	Time (h)	Light source	<i>c</i> (M)	Yield 4a (%) ^b
1	16	blue LEDs 467 nm (40 W)	0.05	42
2	1.5	blue LEDs 467 nm (40 W)	0.05	42
3	1	blue LEDs 467 nm (40 W)	0.05	39
4	0.17	blue LEDs 467 nm (40 W)	0.05	21
5	1.5	Kessil 467 nm (44 W)	0.05	42
6	1.5	Kessil 467 nm (22 W)	0.05	42
7	1.5	Kessil 440 nm (45 W)	0.05	41
8	1.5	green LEDs 525 nm (40 W)	0.05	35
9	4	CFL (8 W)	0.05	38
10	1.5	blue LEDs 467 nm (40 W)	0.025	36
11	1.5	blue LEDs 467 nm (40 W)	0.1-0.2	41

^aBlue/green LEDs refers to LED strips attached to a crystallization flask. The data were already published in the supporting information of ref. [45]. ^bNMR yield determined using CH₂Br₂ as internal standard.



Scheme 2: Screening of nucleophilic alkynes and investigation of the photocatalyst solubility. n.o = not observed, PC = photocatalyst. ^aThe data were already published in the supporting information of ref. [45].

contrast, when it is in the presence of alkynyl-BF₃K it readily dissolves. The addition of a couple of water drops to a suspension of photocatalyst in DME also allowed solubilization. The solubilization due to residual water coming from the alkynyl-BF₃K was ruled out by careful drying of **5a**. We postulated that an ion exchange between Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ and **5a** can occur to afford a more soluble photocatalyst with the general formula **9** (Scheme 2C). Interestingly, when the solubilization experiment was carried out with the tetrabutylammonium salt **7** only moderate solubilization occurred (Scheme 2B), which could explain the lower yield previously observed (Scheme 2A). Neutral TMS-alkyne **8** cannot be involved in ion exchange and therefore this could be one of the reasons why no reaction occurred.

Next, we turned our attention to the temperature of the reaction, a factor rarely explored in photocatalyzed reaction due to the lack of available set-ups allowing an efficient light irradiation in addition to a proper temperature control. In our case, since the reaction previously showed to be very tolerant to different light intensity we could attempt to cool the reaction. Carrying out the transformation with the reaction vessel placed in an EtOAc bath cooled to 0 °C by an immersion cooler allowed to increase the yield to 50% (Table 6, entries 1 and 2). Decreasing the temperature to -20 °C slightly improved the yield and the mass balance of the reaction (Table 6, entry 3). At -41 °C the reaction time had to be increased to reach full conversion of styrene (1a), and the yield slightly decreased (Table 6, entry 4). As full conversion is still reached rapidly at -20 °C, we were interested to use a more convenient cooling bath made from ice and salt rather than the immersion cooler. We were pleased to see that running

the reaction with this method of cooling afforded similar yield (Table 6, entry 5) even with a significantly more opaque bath mixture.

Having already modified most of the reaction parameters available we decided to explore the effect of different additives. In most reactions, 10 resulting from water addition was observed to some extent (4-8%), the presence of 4 Å molecular sieves effectively suppressed the formation of 10 (Table 7, entries 1 and 2). Unfortunately, the yield did not increase and only a slightly worse mass balance was observed. Multiple reagents are known to abstract fluorine from trifluoroborate salts to form the corresponding difluoroborate species [57-60]. This approach has previously been successful to increase the yield of different transformations. Using fluoride scavenger such as TMSCl, TFAA or TMS₂(O) led to similar or lower yields (Table 7, entries 3-5). We were pleased to see that in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O, **4a** was obtained in 75% yield (Table 7, entry 6). Addition of a less acidic boron Lewis acid B(OTFE)₃ had a detrimental effect (Table 7, entry 7). Increasing the stoichiometry of BF₃ to 2 equivalents lowered the yield significantly (Table 7, entry 8). In contrast, the loading could be reduced to 30 mol % without impacting the formation of 4a (Table 7, entries 9 and 10).

Finally, a fine-tuning of the reaction conditions was performed. Increasing the concentration to 0.1 M afforded a comparable yield (Table 8, entries 1 and 2). Similarly, the equivalents of alkynyl-BF₃K **5a** could be reduced to 1.5, a further decrease started to impact the yield (Table 8, entries 3 and 4). Carrying out the reaction in degassed solvent is not mandatory but



^aIn all experiment the Kessil lamp was pointed diagonally towards the reaction vessel immersed in a cooling bath contained in a Dewar. An immersion cooler was placed in an EtOAc bath. The data were already published in the supporting information of ref. [45] except for the mass balance. The photo is a cropped version taken from ref. [45]. ^bNMR yield determined using CH₂Br₂ as internal standard. ^cThe mass balance corresponds to the quantity of product + byproducts that could be determined by NMR integration. ^dReaction was carried out for 3 h.

Table 7: Ac	lditive screening.			
	Ph + F	h BF ₃ K DME (0 blue li	additive BZ (3 , 1.25 equiv) PI D ₃ Cl ₂ ·6H ₂ O (2 mol %) .05 M), -20 °C, 1.5 h ght (467 nm, 22 W) Ph	h OH Ph N ₃
	1a	5a (2 equiv)	4	a 10
Entry	Additive	Equivalent	Yield 4a (%) ^b	Yield 10 (%) ^b
1	_	_	53	8
2	MS 4 Å	50 mg/0.1 mmol	51	<5
3	TMSCI	1 equiv	40	-
4	TFAA	1 equiv	54	_
5	(TMS) ₂ O	1 equiv	48	13
6	BF ₃ ·Et ₂ O	1 equiv	75	_
7	B(OTFE) ₃	1 equiv	53	10
8	BF ₃ ·Et ₂ O	2 equiv	37	n.d.
9	BF ₃ ·Et ₂ O	0.3 equiv	72	9
10	BF ₃ ·Et ₂ O	0.1 equiv	67	13

^aThe data were already published in the supporting information of Ref. [45] except for the yield of **10** and for entry 10. ^bNMR yield determined using CH₂Br₂ as internal standard. n.d. = not determined.

affords a better result (Table 8, entry 5). The photocatalyst could be changed to $Ru(bpy)_3(PF_6)_2$ without impacting the reaction (Table 8, entry 6). This photocatalyst was ultimately chosen since we observed a sharp decrease in yield when

 $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ was used with alkyl-substituted alkynyl-BF₃K, probably due to the poor solubilization of the catalyst. Finally, control experiments in the absence of photocatalyst or light only afforded traces of product (Table 8, entry 7).

Table 8: Fine-	tuning of the reaction conditions.			
		BF ₃ ·Et₂O (30 mol %) Ru(bpy) ₃ Cl₂·6H₂O (2 mol %)	Ph	
0,	$r P_h \ll r P_{II} = Br_{3K}$	DME (0.05 M), –20 °C, 1.5 h blue light (467 nm, 22 W)	Ph N ₃	
Ts-AB2	Z (3 , 1.25 equiv) 1a 5a (2 equiv)		4a	
Entry	Change from standard conditions	Yield 4	a (%) ^b	
1	none	72		
2	c = 0.1 M	75		
3	<i>c</i> = 0.1 M, 5a (1.5 equiv)	74		
4	<i>c</i> = 0.1 M, 5a (1.25 equiv)	71		
5	c = 0.1 M, 5a (1.5 equiv), no degassing	65		
6	<i>c</i> = 0.1 M, 5a (1.5 equiv), Ru(bpy) ₃ (PF ₆) ₂ (2 mol %)	74		
7	no photocatalyst or light, 16 h	<5		
^a The data were already published in the supporting information of ref. [45]. ^b NMR yield determined using CH ₂ Br ₂ as internal standard.				

The successful scope of the reaction was already described in our previous work [45], and will be only shortly described in a summarized form (Scheme 3). Styrene substituted with a *tert*-

butyl at the *para* position afforded **4b** in 78% yield. Using a styrene bearing a sterically hindered aryl afforded **4c** in a similar yield. Homopropargylic azides possessing oxygen substitu-



ents such as 4d and 4e could be obtained in 84% and 78% yield, respectively. We were pleased to see that the presence of an acetamide did not shut down the reaction, the corresponding product 4f was obtained in 68%. The amide could have competed with the alkynyl-trifluoroborate for the carbocation trapping. An halogen-substituted styrene could be azido-alkynylated to afford 4g in 71% yield. Electron-poor aryls are expected to destabilize the carbocation intermediate formed during the reaction. Nevertheless, 4h possessing a para ester could be obtained, albeit with a decreased yield. Homopropargylic azides substituted with heteroaryls such as thiophene (4i) or a bromofuran (4j) were obtained in 62% and 54% yield, respectively. When the azido-alkynylation was carried out on 1,2-dihydronaphthalene, 4k could be obtained in 71% yield and 3.8:1 dr. As expected, the configuration of the major diasteroisomer was determined to be trans. Divne 41 could be accessed in 44% yield from the exclusive 1,2-functionalization of the corresponding ene-yne. Crude NMR of the reaction did not show the presence of an allene product which could have been formed by a 1,4functionalization. Enol ether could also be involved in the reaction, affording 4m in 49% yield.

Next, we explored the variety of nucleophilic alkynes that could be introduced using *p*-acetoxystyrene as model substrate. Alkynyl-trifluoroborates bearing an electron-donating group (OMe) or electron-withdrawing groups (F, Cl, CO_2Me) on the aryl ring worked well in the transformation, affording homopropargylic azides 4n-q in 60–77% yield. The reaction appears to be sensitive to the steric hindrance of the nucleophile: addition of a mesitylalkyne only formed 33% of 4r. Pleasingly, heteroaryl substituents were tolerated, 4s bearing a thiophene was obtained in 54% yield. The reaction could be extended to alkyl-substituted alkynes with little difference in yields. An homopropargylic azides possessing a cyclopropyl (4t) was formed in 52% yield. Finally, starting from potassium ethynyltrifluoroborate the free alkyne 4u could be accessed in 34% yield with potential for further modification by cross-coupling. The full scope of the transformation can be found in Supporting Information File 1, Schemes S2 and S3 [45].

Concerning scope limitations, the azido-alkynylation of vinylpyridine **1b** was unsuccessful and thiazole **1c** only afforded 18% of the desired product, which proved to be unstable during purification (Scheme 4). Homopropargylic azides containing electron-rich nitrogen heterocycles could not be obtained. No product was observed by using vinylindole **1d** probably due its high tendency to polymerize. Although a slight amount of homopropargylic azide was formed using pyrrole **1e** it could not be isolated due to its instability. No conversion was observed when the transformation was attempted directly on the indole scaffold **1f**.

Only a small amount of product was formed using styrene 1g bearing an extra α -phenyl substituent. A slightly higher yield



Scheme 4: Unsuccessful examples. The conditions used are the same as in Scheme 3. The yields reported were determined by NMR on crude mixtures. n.o. = not observed. was observed when α -methylstyrene (1h) was used instead but it remained low (<27%). In general, when the reaction performed poorly (<30%) a large number of byproducts are formed, making the separation of the desired compound from the impurities impossible. Attempting the reaction on the cyclic substrate **1i** did not afford the desired product. Although β-substitution on the alkene is tolerated, using trans-stilbene only afforded 24% yield. Unfortunately, aliphatic alkenes are not tolerated in the reaction. When 1k was used no product was formed and only partial conversion was observed. As a simple enol ether was reactive in the transformation, we attempted to use glucal 11 but no conversion was observed. Enamide 1m could be azido-alkynylated in low yield and the product was inseparable from impurities. When dehydroalanine 1n was used in the reaction no product was formed and only partial conversion of the starting material was observed. We postulated that the presence of two Boc protecting groups on the nitrogen makes the oxidation of the C-centered radical challenging. By using 10 only bearing one protecting group the desired product could be obtained, albeit in only 17% yield.

Pyridine-substituted alkynyl-BF₃K **5b** could not be transferred, and only traces of the product were observed. When **5c** derived from mestranol was involved in the reaction, the corresponding homopropargylic azide was obtained in <23% yield. We noticed that this particular alkynyltrifluoroborate salt has a low solubility in DME, which might cause the low yield observed. Ynonetrifluoroborate **5d** could not be introduced as nucleophile, probably due to its reduced reactivity caused by the electronwithdrawing acyl group. Unfortunately, although Molander previously reported the use of vinyl-trifluoroborate **11** to trap benzylic carbocation [42], in our case no product was observed. Since β -substituted styrenes can be involved in the transformation it is possible that the azide radicals can react either with the vinylic product formed in the reaction or with the starting vinyl-BF₃K. Simple aryl-BF₃K **12** only afforded 14% yield of the azido-arylated product, and using the more nucleophilic **13** only slightly improved the yield. Finally, a small amount of product resulting from the addition of allyl-BF₃K **14** could be observed but decomposed during purification.

Based on literature precedence [41,42,44] and experimental studies [45] a plausible photocatalytic cycle could be proposed (Scheme 5). Upon light irradiation, single-electron reduction of Ts-ABZ (3) $(E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} = -0.62 \text{ V vs SCE})$ [45] by the excited state photocatalyst $(E_{1/2} [\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}/\text{Ru}^{\text{II}*}] = -0.86 \text{ V vs SCE})$ [53] can occur to form an azide radical, which upon addition to styrene would generate intermediate I-1. Oxidation of the benzylic radical $(E_{1/2}^{\text{ox}} = +0.37 \text{ V vs SCE}$ for the corresponding radical without the azide) [61] by the previously formed Ru(bpy)³⁺ $(E_{1/2} [\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}/\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}] = +1.29 \text{ V vs SCE})$ [53] regenerates the ground state photocatalyst and forms carbocation I-2. Finally, nucleophilic addition of the alkynyl-trifluoroborate onto the benzylic carbocation would afford homopropargylic azide **4** [45].

Conclusion

In summary, an azido-alkynylation of styrenes to access homopropargylic azides was developed. The reaction was initially investigated using EBX reagents as SOMOphilic alkynes but this only afforded a 29% yield of the desired product. After switching to a radical-polar crossover approach the yield could be significantly improved. Key to the reaction optimization was the reduction of the temperature to -20 °C and the addition of BF₃·Et₂O. A large variety of homopropargylic azides could be obtained in 30–84% yield using Ts-ABZ (**3**) as azide radical precursor and alkynyltrifluoroborates as nucleophilic alkyne source [62].



Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

General methods, photochemistry set-up, reaction optimization, experimental procedures and compounds characterization.

[https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-20-64-S1.pdf]

Funding

The authors thank the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne for financial support. This publication was created as a part of NCCR Catalysis, a National Center of Competence in Research funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. 180544).

Author Contributions

Julien Borrel: conceptualization; data curation; investigation; validation; writing – original draft. Jerome Waser: conceptualization; funding acquisition; project administration; writing – review & editing.

ORCID® iDs

Julien Borrel - https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4045-5256 Jerome Waser - https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4570-914X

Data Availability Statement

All data that supports the findings of this study is available in the published article and/or the supporting information to this article.

References

- Natchus, M. G.; Bookland, R. G.; Laufersweiler, M. J.; Pikul, S.; Almstead, N. G.; De, B.; Janusz, M. J.; Hsieh, L. C.; Gu, F.; Pokross, M. E.; Patel, V. S.; Garver, S. M.; Peng, S. X.; Branch, T. M.; King, S. L.; Baker, T. R.; Foltz, D. J.; Mieling, G. E. *J. Med. Chem.* 2001, 44, 1060–1071. doi:10.1021/jm000477l
- Patel, M.; McHugh, R. J., Jr.; Cordova, B. C.; Klabe, R. M.; Bacheler, L. T.; Erickson-Viitanen, S.; Rodgers, J. D. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 1943–1945. doi:10.1016/s0960-894x(01)00331-6
- Miyazaki, T.; Yokoshima, S.; Simizu, S.; Osada, H.; Tokuyama, H.; Fukuyama, T. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4737–4740. doi:10.1021/ol702040y
- Gahalawat, S.; Pandey, S. K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 9287–9293. doi:10.1039/c6ob01775d
- Montagnat, O. D.; Lessene, G.; Hughes, A. B. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 390–398. doi:10.1021/jo9021887
- Ito, M.; Mera, A.; Mashimo, T.; Seki, T.; Karanjit, S.; Ohashi, E.; Nakayama, A.; Kitamura, K.; Hamura, T.; Ito, H.; Namba, K. *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 17727–17733. doi:10.1002/chem.201804733

- Nguyen, H. V.-T.; Jiang, Y.; Mohapatra, S.; Wang, W.; Barnes, J. C.; Oldenhuis, N. J.; Chen, K. K.; Axelrod, S.; Huang, Z.; Chen, Q.; Golder, M. R.; Young, K.; Suvlu, D.; Shen, Y.; Willard, A. P.; Hore, M. J. A.; Gómez-Bombarelli, R.; Johnson, J. A. *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 85–93. doi:10.1038/s41557-021-00826-8
- Kruse, L. I.; Kaiser, C.; DeWolf, W. E., Jr.; Chambers, P. A.; Goodhart, P. J.; Ezekiel, M.; Ohlstein, E. H. *J. Med. Chem.* **1988**, *31*, 704–706. doi:10.1021/jm00399a002
- Shaw, A. N.; Dolle, R. E.; Kruse, L. I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 5081–5084. doi:10.1016/s0040-4039(00)97811-0
- Tan, E. S.; Miyakawa, M.; Bunzow, J. R.; Grandy, D. K.; Scanlan, T. S. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 2787–2798. doi:10.1021/jm0700417
- 11. Gorin, D. J.; Davis, N. R.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11260–11261. doi:10.1021/ja053804t
- 12. Hiroya, K.; Matsumoto, S.; Ashikawa, M.; Ogiwara, K.; Sakamoto, T. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 5349–5352. doi:10.1021/ol062249c
- Wyrębek, P.; Sniady, A.; Bewick, N.; Li, Y.; Mikus, A.; Wheeler, K. A.; Dembinski, R. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 1268–1275. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2008.11.094
- Yamamoto, H.; Sasaki, I.; Mitsutake, M.; Karasudani, A.; Imagawa, H.; Nishizawa, M. Synlett 2011, 2815–2818. doi:10.1055/s-0031-1289566
- Mironova, I. A.; Kirsch, S. F.; Zhdankin, V. V.; Yoshimura, A.; Yusubov, M. S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2022**, e202200754. doi:10.1002/ejoc.202200754
- Simonet-Davin, R.; Waser, J. Synthesis 2023, 55, 1652–1661. doi:10.1055/a-1966-4974
- 17. Alazet, S.; Preindl, J.; Simonet-Davin, R.; Nicolai, S.; Nanchen, A.; Meyer, T.; Waser, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 12334–12356. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.8b02068
- Shirke, R. P.; Ramasastry, S. S. V. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 5482–5485. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02861
- Davies, J.; Sheikh, N. S.; Leonori, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13361–13365. doi:10.1002/anie.201708497
- 20. Jia, J.; Ho, Y. A.; Bülow, R. F.; Rueping, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 14054–14058. doi:10.1002/chem.201802907
- Jiang, H.; Studer, A. Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 516–520. doi:10.1002/chem.201805490
- 22. Hu, Z.; Fu, L.; Chen, P.; Cao, W.; Liu, G. Org. Lett. 2021, 23, 129–134. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.0c03826
- Zhang, X.; Qi, D.; Jiao, C.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, X.; Zhang, G.
 Org. Chem. Front. 2021, 8, 6522–6529. doi:10.1039/d1qo01217g
- 24. Fumagalli, G.; Rabet, P. T. G.; Boyd, S.; Greaney, M. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 11481–11484. doi:10.1002/anie.201502980
- Alazet, S.; Le Vaillant, F.; Nicolai, S.; Courant, T.; Waser, J. *Chem. – Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 9501–9504. doi:10.1002/chem.201702599
- 26. Cong, F.; Wei, Y.; Tang, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 4473–4476. doi:10.1039/c8cc01096j
- 27. Zhang, Z.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2022**, *51*, 1640–1658. doi:10.1039/d1cs00727k
- Meldal, M.; Tornøe, C. W. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2952–3015. doi:10.1021/cr0783479
- 29. Lu, M.-Z.; Wang, C.-Q.; Loh, T.-P. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 6110–6113. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.5b03130
- 30. Zhou, H.; Jian, W.; Qian, B.; Ye, C.; Li, D.; Zhou, J.; Bao, H. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 6120–6123. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02982
- 31. Cai, C.-Y.; Zheng, Y.-T.; Li, J.-F.; Xu, H.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 11980–11985. doi:10.1021/jacs.2c05126
- 32. Le Vaillant, F.; Waser, J. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 8909–8923. doi:10.1039/c9sc03033f

- 33. Le Du, E.; Waser, J. *Chem. Commun.* **2023**, *59*, 1589–1604. doi:10.1039/d2cc06168f
- 34. Ge, D.; Wang, X.; Chu, X.-Q. Org. Chem. Front. 2021, 8, 5145–5164. doi:10.1039/d1qo00798j
- 35. Zhou, Q.-Q.; Guo, W.; Ding, W.; Wu, X.; Chen, X.; Lu, L.-Q.; Xiao, W.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11196–11199. doi:10.1002/anie.201504559
- 36. Jana, K.; Bhunia, A.; Studer, A. Chem 2020, 6, 512–522. doi:10.1016/j.chempr.2019.12.022
- 37. Capaldo, L.; Ravelli, D. *Org. Lett.* **2021**, *23*, 2243–2247. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.1c00381
- 38. Zuo, Z.; Studer, A. Org. Lett. 2022, 24, 949–954. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.1c04319
- Wiles, R. J.; Molander, G. A. Isr. J. Chem. 2020, 60, 281–293. doi:10.1002/ijch.201900166
- Sharma, S.; Singh, J.; Sharma, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2021, 363, 3146–3169. doi:10.1002/adsc.202100205
- 41. Xiong, P.; Long, H.; Song, J.; Wang, Y.; Li, J.-F.; Xu, H.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 16387–16391. doi:10.1021/jacs.8b08592
- Cabrera-Afonso, M. J.; Sookezian, A.; Badir, S. O.; El Khatib, M.; Molander, G. A. *Chem. Sci.* 2021, *12*, 9189–9195. doi:10.1039/d1sc02547c
- 43. Sheng, W.; Huang, X.; Cai, J.; Zheng, Y.; Wen, Y.; Song, C.; Li, J. Org. Lett. 2023, 25, 6178–6183. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.3c02309
- Sookezian, A.; Molander, G. A. Org. Lett. 2023, 25, 1014–1019. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.3c00182
- 45. Borrel, J.; Waser, J. Chem. Sci. 2023, 14, 9452–9460. doi:10.1039/d3sc03309k
 See for more details on the reaction scope; mechanistic studies.
- Smyrnov, V.; Muriel, B.; Waser, J. Org. Lett. 2021, 23, 5435–5439. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.1c01775
- 47. Amos, S. G. E.; Cavalli, D.; Le Vaillant, F.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 23827–23834. doi:10.1002/anie.202110257
- 48. Alektiar, S. N.; Wickens, Z. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 13022–13028. doi:10.1021/jacs.1c07562
- 49. Williams, O. P.; Chmiel, A. F.; Mikhael, M.; Bates, D. M.; Yeung, C. S.; Wickens, Z. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202300178. doi:10.1002/anie.202300178
- Shinomoto, Y.; Yoshimura, A.; Shimizu, H.; Yamazaki, M.; Zhdankin, V. V.; Saito, A. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5212–5215. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02543
- Reichle, A.; Koch, M.; Sterzel, H.; Großkopf, L.-J.; Floss, J.; Rehbein, J.; Reiser, O. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202219086. doi:10.1002/anie.202219086
- 52. Day, C. S.; Fawcett, A.; Chatterjee, R.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2021**, *143*, 16184–16196. doi:10.1021/jacs.1c07330
- 53. Wu, Y.; Kim, D.; Teets, T. S. *Synlett* **2022**, *33*, 1154–1179. doi:10.1055/a-1390-9065
- 54. Garreau, M.; Le Vaillant, F.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 8182–8186. doi:10.1002/anie.201901922
- Yu, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Ye, K.-Y. Chem. Commun. 2023, 59, 422–425. doi:10.1039/d2cc06246a
- Motiwala, H. F.; Armaly, A. M.; Cacioppo, J. G.; Coombs, T. C.; Koehn, K. R. K.; Norwood, V. M., IV; Aubé, J. *Chem. Rev.* 2022, *122*, 12544–12747. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00749
- 57. Mitchell, T. A.; Bode, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 18057–18059. doi:10.1021/ja906514s

- Argintaru, O. A.; Ryu, D.; Aron, I.; Molander, G. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13656–13660. doi:10.1002/anie.201308036
- Roscales, S.; Ortega, V.; Csákÿ, A. G. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 12825–12830. doi:10.1021/jo402262m
- Šterman, A.; Sosič, I.; Časar, Z. Chem. Sci. 2022, 13, 2946–2953. doi:10.1039/d1sc07065g
- Wayner, D. D. M.; McPhee, D. J.; Griller, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 132–137. doi:10.1021/ja00209a021
- 62. The content of this publication was adapted from: Borrel, J. Novel alkynylation methods through the combination of hypervalent iodine reagents and alkynyl-trifluoroborate salts. Ph.D. Thesis n° 10492, EPFL, Switzerland, 2024.

License and Terms

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Beilstein-Institut Open Access License Agreement (https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/terms), which is identical to the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0). The reuse of material under this license requires that the author(s), source and license are credited. Third-party material in this article could be subject to other licenses (typically indicated in the credit line), and in this case, users are required to obtain permission from the license holder to reuse the material.

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.20.64

BEILSTEIN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

Ortho-ester-substituted diaryliodonium salts enabled regioselective arylocyclization of naphthols toward 3,4-benzocoumarins

Ke Jiang¹, Cheng Pan¹, Limin Wang¹, Hao-Yang Wang^{*2} and Jianwei Han^{*1}

Letter

Address:

¹Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Feringa Nobel Prize Scientist Joint Research Center, Department of Fine Chemistry and Institute of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, P. R. China and ²National Center for Organic Mass Spectrometry in Shanghai, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

Email:

Hao-Yang Wang^{*} - haoyangwang@sioc.ac.cn; Jianwei Han^{*} - jianweihan@ecust.edu.cn

* Corresponding author

Keywords:

 $annulation; arylocyclization; {\tt 3,4-benzocoumarin}; diaryliodonium \ salts; naphthol$

Abstract

Cyclic annulation involving diaryliodonium salts is an efficient tool for the construction of two or more chemical bonds in a one-pot process. *Ortho*-functionalized diaryliodonium salts have showcased distinct reactivity in the exploration of benzocyclization or arylocyclization. With this strategy of *ortho*-ester-substituted diaryliodonium salts, herein, we utilized a copper catalyst to activate the C–I bond of diaryliodonium salts in the generation of aryl radicals, thus resulting in an annulation reaction with naphthols and substituted phenols. This approach yielded a diverse array of 3,4-benzocoumarin derivatives bearing various substituents.

Introduction

Diaryliodonium salts as electrophilic reagents have attracted significant attention in the field of organic synthesis owing to their efficiency and selectivity [1-7]. Particularly, they have been employed in benzocyclization and arylocyclization reactions, enabling intramolecular cyclization by forming aromatic or heterocyclic rings as a part of cyclic structures [8]. In these reactions, the dual activation of a C–I bond and vicinal C–H

bonds/functional groups features a distinct advantage, facilitating the formation of two or more chemical bonds in a stepeconomic manner [9-13]. In a prior study, we reported a palladium-catalyzed efficient activation of both C–I bond and the adjacent C–H bond of diaryliodonium salts in the formation of 4,5-benzocoumarin derivatives, expanding the benzocoumarin family (Scheme 1b) [14]. Recently, *ortho*-functionalized

Beilstein J. Org. Chem. **2024**, *20*, 841–851. https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.20.76

Received: 25 November 2023 Accepted: 11 April 2024 Published: 18 April 2024

This article is part of the thematic issue "Hypervalent halogen chemistry".

Guest Editor: T. Gulder



© 2024 Jiang et al.; licensee Beilstein-Institut. License and terms: see end of document.

Open Access



diaryliodonium salts, due to their coordinating and electrophilic effects, have exhibited unique reactivity and chemoselectivity [15]. As such, a wide range of functional groups including the trimethylsilyl group, boronic acid, trifluoroborate moiety, trifluoromethanesulfonate, aryl sulfonamides, and heterocycles, have been incorporated into the *ortho*-position of diaryliodonium structures [16-21]. *Ortho*-trimethylsilyl or boronic acidsubstituted diaryliodonium salts can serve as aryne precursors. *Ortho*-trifluoroborate-substituted diaryliodonium salts furnished iodonium zwitterions as bifunctional reagents [22-25]. Additionally, *ortho*-trifluoromethanesulfonate, *N*-sulfonyl, or tosylmethylene-substituted diaryliodonium salts can undergo intramolecular aryl migrations [26-28]. More recently, we explored the reactivity of *ortho*-functionalized diaryliodonium salts containing electron-withdrawing groups (EWGs) such as fluorine and nitro groups [29,30]. These *ortho*-substituted diaryliodonium salts undergo selective benzocyclizations and arylocyclizations with aromatic acids, leading to 3,4-benzocoumarin skeletons in the presence of palladium catalysts (Scheme 1b). Furthermore, Olofsson and colleagues described an unprecedented reaction pathway using *ortho*-fluoro-substituted diaryliodonium salts bearing strong electron-withdrawing groups, leading to novel diarylations of N-, O-, and S-nucleophiles [31-33]. Building on our great interest in *ortho*-functionalized diaryliodonium salts and their dual activation capabilities, we sought to incorporate carboxylic ester groups into the structures of *ortho*-substituted diaryliodonium salts to explore their properties and reactivity. Our previous investigations demonstrated the ability of diaryliodonium salts for selective mono-arylation of 2-naphthols [34]. In this context, we embark on a strategy to modify the neighbouring position of the diaryliodonium salt with an ester group, presenting a novel copper-catalysed regioselective arylocyclization of naphthols and substituted phenols. This method represents an efficient approach to access 3,4-benzocoumarin derivatives (Scheme 1c).

Results and Discussion

To start the study, we used 2-naphthol (**1a**) and 1.1 equivalents of *ortho*-methyl formate-substituted diaryliodonium salt **2a** as template substrates. The reaction was performed in the presence of 10 mol % Cu(OTf)₂ and 1.0 equivalent of K₂CO₃ in DCE at a temperature of 80 °C. To our delight, the reaction afforded 3,4-benzocoumarin **3aa** in a 27% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The structure of **3aa** was confirmed through NMR spectroscopy and mass spectra analysis. Subsequently, we started to screen various bases such as Na₂CO₃, Cs₂CO₃, KOH, NaO*t*-Bu, LiHMDS, and DMAP (Table 1, entries 2–7). Fortunately, it was found that the reaction yield was increased to 50% in the absence of any base (Table 1, entry 8). Further investigations for assessing the influence of various solvents including dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), toluene, acetic acid (AcOH) and water (Table 1, entries 9–13) were carried out. However, polar solvents such as AcOH and H₂O were proved to be unsuitable for this reaction. For catalysts, we found that Cu(OAc)₂ gave the best results (Table 1, entries 15–18). Finally, the reaction temperature and time were optimized, **3aa** was produced in 61% yield at a temperature of 80 °C after 3 hours (Table 1, entry 15).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we started to explore the substrate scope of the cyclization to construct a variety of 3,4-benzocoumarin derivatives. Our investigations commenced with 2-naphthol (1), and the results are presented in Table 2. Various substituted naphthols with a broad range of substituents on the naphthalene unit were well tolerated in the reaction, affording the corresponding products **3aa–aq** in gener-

Table 1: Optimization of reaction conditions. ^a						
	C OH +	CO ₂ Me cataly Mes base OTf te	rst (10 mol %) se, solvent, emp, time			
	1a	2a	3a	а		
Entry	Solvent	Base	Catalyst	3aa (%) ^b		
1	DCE	K ₂ CO ₃	Cu(OTf) ₂	27		
2	DCE	Na ₂ CO ₃	Cu(OTf) ₂	25		
3	DCE	Cs ₂ CO ₃	Cu(OTf) ₂	16		
4	DCE	KOH	Cu(OTf) ₂	24		
5	DCE	DMAP	Cu(OTf) ₂	26		
6	DCE	NaOt-Bu	Cu(OTf) ₂	35		
7	DCE	LiHMDS	Cu(OTf) ₂	30		
8	DCE	-	Cu(OTf) ₂	50		
9	DMSO	-	Cu(OTf) ₂	45 ^c (40) ^d		
10	DMF	-	Cu(OTf) ₂	23		
11	toluene	-	Cu(OTf) ₂	10		
12	AcOH	-	Cu(OTf) ₂	0		
13	H ₂ O	-	Cu(OTf) ₂	0		
14 ^e	DCE	-	Cu(OTf) ₂	48		
15	DCE	-	Cu(OAc) ₂	61		
16	DCE	-	Pd(OAc) ₂	22		
17	DCE	-	PdCl ₂	40		
18	DCE	-	AgOAc	20		

^aReaction conditions: **1a** (0.3 mmol, 1 equiv), **2a** (0.33 mmol, 1.1 equiv), base (0.3 mmol; 1 equiv), catalyst (10 mol %), solvent (2 mL), 80 °C, 3 hours. ^bIsolated yields were obtained after purification by column chromatography. ^cThe reaction temperature was 110 °C. ^dThe reaction temperature was 130 °C. ^eThe reaction was quenched after 12 hours.

ally moderate to good yields of 22–83% (Table 2, entries 1–17). These substituents included halogen (Br), methyl, phenyl, aldehyde, ester, and methoxy groups, all of which were compatible with the reaction conditions. Notably, compounds **3ab**, **3ah**, **3aj**, **3am** and **3ap** bearing bromine are very useful modules for the synthesis of functional materials via cross-coupling reactions. Next, we extended our investigation to 1-naphthol in this reaction, and found that the arylation of 1-naphthol was achieved selectively at the C-2 position. The cascade cyclization resulted in the corresponding products **3an** and **3ao** in yields of 49% and 40%, respectively (Table 2, entries 14 and 15). When 5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-naphthol was subjected to the reaction, we obtained products **3ar** and **3as** as a mixture (40% and 10% yield, respectively, Table 2, entry 18). However, when naphthol bearing a strong electron-withdrawing group (such as a nitro group) in the *para* position was reacted, the corresponding product could not be obtained, but instead the O-arylated product **3at** was obtained (Table 2, entry 19). Apart from naphthol, we also tested substituted phenols under the standard conditions. The corresponding products of **3au** and **3av** were produced in 34% and 39% yields, respectively, in which methoxy and *tert*-butyl groups were located in the *para* position to the hydroxy group (Table 2, entries 20 and 21). In the case of **3al**, the mono-arylation of naphthol generated **3al'** in 20% isolated yield, which is the reason for the low yield of **3al**.

We subsequently turned our attention to explore the effect of structural diversity of the *ortho*-ester-substituted diaryliodonium salts. Firstly, a family of substituted diaryliodonium salts









^aReaction conditions: **1** (0.3 mmol, 1 equiv), **2a** (0.33 mmol, 1.1 equiv), Cu(OAc)₂ (10 mol %), DCE (2 mL), 80 °C, 3 hours. ^bIsolated yields were obtained after purification with column chromatography. Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl, OTf = trifluoromethansulfonate.

were synthesized in a one-pot procedure. These *ortho*-substituted diaryliodonium salts were isolated as stable solids, whose structures were fully characterized by NMR spectroscopy. As shown in Table 3, we utilized 2-naphthol and 1-naphthol as template substrates to react with various unsymmetrical 2-estersubstituted diaryliodonium salts. Remarkably, iodonium salts **2**









proved to be versatile in this reaction, regardless of the electronic nature and position of the substituents. The desired 3,4benzocoumarin products **3ba–ma** were obtained in yields of 21–59%. Notably, substituents such as halogens (F, Cl, and Br), methyl, methoxy, and trifluoromethyl groups at the *ortho-*, *meta-*, or *para-*positions to the ester group were all well-tolerated (Table 3).

To gain further insights into the reaction mechanism, we conducted control experiments. Given the utility of diaryliodonium salts in radical chemistry, we introduced 2 equivalents of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) or 2 equivalents of butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) into the template reaction. Remarkably, we observed that the desired product was not formed, suggesting a radical pathway. Subsequently, we investigated the bond-formation sequence in the benzocyclization reaction. A possible intermediate of **3al'** was prepared and tested in the reaction under the standard conditions, however, product **3aa** was not obtained. Based on the literature known results and the experimental evidences [35,36], we proposed a plausible reaction mechanism (Scheme 2b). The reaction started with the formation of radical intermediate **A** from diaryliodonium salt **2a**. Naphthol **1a** forms intermediate **B** with **A** after participation with the Cu(II) catalyst. Intermediate **B** generates **C** by radical substitution. A final intramolecular transesterification yields the benzocoumarin product **3aa**.

Conclusion

In summary, we have employed *ortho*-ester-substituted diaryliodonium salts in a cascade cyclization, the cyclization features a copper-catalyzed activation strategy involving the cleavage of the C–I bond and esterification. The resulting cascade of selective arylation/intramolecular cyclization facilitated the synthesis of 3,4-benzocoumarin derivatives. The protocol enables the efficient formation of two chemical bonds in one pot, representing a valuable tool for the synthesis of polycyclic benzocoumarins. Our ongoing research endeavours



are dedicated to explore the detailed reaction mechanism with the ultimate aim of broadening the scope and applicability of this approach.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

Experimental procedures, LC-MS spectra and

characterization data of all products, copies of ¹H, ¹³C,

¹⁹F NMR spectra of all compounds.

[https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/

supplementary/1860-5397-20-76-S1.pdf]

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Research Center of Analysis and Test of the East China University of Science and Technology for the help on the characterization and Professor Zhen-Jiang Xu from SIOC, CAS for helpful discussion and instrumental analysis.

Funding

The work was supported by the Industry-University-Research Collaborative Innovation Fund for Chinese Universities-DeZhou Project (2021DZ030) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (20ZR1413500); Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project (grant no. 2018SHZDZX03) and Program of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities (B16017).

ORCID[®] iDs

Jianwei Han - https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8354-5684

Data Availability Statement

The data that supports the findings of this study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Preprint

A non-peer-reviewed version of this article has been previously published as a preprint: https://doi.org/10.3762/bxiv.2023.54.v1

References

- Silva, J. L. F.; Olofsson, B. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2011, 28, 1722–1754. doi:10.1039/c1np00028d
- Yoshimura, A.; Zhdankin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 3328–3435. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00547
- Aradi, K.; Tóth, B.; Tolnai, G.; Novák, Z. Synlett 2016, 27, 1456–1485. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1561369
- Cao, C.; Sheng, J.; Chen, C. Synthesis 2017, 49, 5081–5092. doi:10.1055/s-0036-1589515
- Wang, Y.; An, G.; Wang, L.; Han, J. Curr. Org. Chem. 2020, 24, 2070–2105. doi:10.2174/1385272824999200507124328
- Yang, X.-G.; Hu, Z.-N.; Jia, M.-C.; Du, F.-H.; Zhang, C. Synlett 2021, 32, 1289–1296. doi:10.1055/a-1492-4943
- Peng, X.; Rahim, A.; Peng, W.; Jiang, F.; Gu, Z.; Wen, S. Chem. Rev. 2023, 123, 1364–1416. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.2c00591
- Pan, C.; Wang, L.; Han, J. Chem. Rec. 2023, 23, e202300138. doi:10.1002/tcr.202300138
- Wu, Y.; Peng, X.; Luo, B.; Wu, F.; Liu, B.; Song, F.; Huang, P.; Wen, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 9777–9780. doi:10.1039/c4ob02170c
- Mehra, M. K.; Sharma, S.; Rangan, K.; Kumar, D. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2020, 2409–2413. doi:10.1002/ejoc.202000013
- 11. An, G.; Wang, L.; Han, J. *Org. Lett.* **2021**, *23*, 8688–8693. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.1c03016
- 12. Xue, C.; Wang, L.; Han, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *85*, 15406–15414. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.0c02192
- 13. Kitamura, T.; Yamane, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995,** 983–984. doi:10.1039/c39950000983
- 14. Wu, X.; Yang, Y.; Han, J.; Wang, L. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5654–5657. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02938
- Kikushima, K.; Elboray, E. E.; Jiménez-Halla, J. O. C.; Solorio-Alvarado, C. R.; Dohi, T. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2022**, *20*, 3231–3248. doi:10.1039/d1ob02501e
- Kitamura, T.; Gondo, K.; Oyamada, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8416–8419. doi:10.1021/jacs.7b04483
- Yoshimura, A.; Fuchs, J. M.; Middleton, K. R.; Maskaev, A. V.; Rohde, G. T.; Saito, A.; Postnikov, P. S.; Yusubov, M. S.; Nemykin, V. N.; Zhdankin, V. V. *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 16738–16742. doi:10.1002/chem.201704393
- Robidas, R.; Guérin, V.; Provençal, L.; Echeverria, M.; Legault, C. Y. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 6420–6423. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b03307
- Wu, Y.; Izquierdo, S.; Vidossich, P.; Lledós, A.; Shafir, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 7152–7156. doi:10.1002/anie.201602569
- Boelke, A.; Vlasenko, Y. A.; Yusubov, M. S.; Nachtsheim, B. J.; Postnikov, P. S. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *15*, 2311–2318. doi:10.3762/bjoc.15.223
- Vlasenko, Y. A.; Kuczmera, T. J.; Antonkin, N. S.; Valiev, R. R.; Postnikov, P. S.; Nachtsheim, B. J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2023, 365, 535–543. doi:10.1002/adsc.202201001
- 22. Chen, H.; Wang, L.; Han, J. Chem. Commun. 2020, 56, 5697–5700. doi:10.1039/d0cc01766c
- 23. Han, J.; Chen, H.; An, G.; Sun, X.; Li, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, S.; Wang, L. J. Chem. Educ. 2021, 98, 3992–3998. doi:10.1021/acs.jchemed.1c00546

- 24. Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, L.; Han, J. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2022, 11, e202100669. doi:10.1002/ajoc.202100669
- 25. Liu, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, H.-Y.; Han, J. J. Org. Chem. 2023, 88, 13089–13101. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.3c01293
- 26. Chen, H.; Han, J.; Wang, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 12313–12317. doi:10.1002/anie.201806405
- Chen, H.; Wang, L.; Han, J. Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 3581–3585. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.0c01024
- Wang, Y.; Pan, W.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, L.; Han, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2023, *62*, e202304897. doi:10.1002/anie.202304897
- 29. Pan, C.; Wang, L.; Han, J. Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 4776–4780. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.0c01577
- Liu, X.; Wang, L.; Han, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2022, 20, 8628–8632. doi:10.1039/d2ob01783k
- Linde, E.; Bulfield, D.; Kervefors, G.; Purkait, N.; Olofsson, B. Chem 2022, 8, 850–865. doi:10.1016/j.chempr.2022.01.009
- Mondal, S.; Di Tommaso, E. M.; Olofsson, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202216296. doi:10.1002/anie.202216296
- 33. Linde, E.; Olofsson, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202310921. doi:10.1002/anie.202310921
- 34. Qian, X.; Han, J.; Wang, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 607–610. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2015.12.100
- 35. Phipps, R. J.; McMurray, L.; Ritter, S.; Duong, H. A.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10773–10776. doi:10.1021/ja3039807
- 36. Wang, W.; Zhou, J.; Wang, C.; Zhang, C.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Wang, Y. Commun. Chem. 2022, 5, 145. doi:10.1038/s42004-022-00768-3

License and Terms

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Beilstein-Institut Open Access License Agreement (https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/terms), which is identical to the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

(<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</u>). The reuse of material under this license requires that the author(s), source and license are credited. Third-party material in this article could be subject to other licenses (typically indicated in the credit line), and in this case, users are required to obtain permission from the license holder to reuse the material.

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.20.76