

Supporting Information

for

Tosylhydrazine-promoted self-conjugate reduction–Michael/ aldol reaction of 3-phenacylideneoxindoles towards dispirocyclopentanebisoxindole derivatives

Sayan Pramanik and Chhanda Mukhopadhyay

Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2022, 18, 469–478. doi:10.3762/bjoc.18.49

Check-CIF-file for compound 3g

License and Terms: This is a supporting information file under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0). Please note that the reuse, redistribution and reproduction in particular requires that the author(s) and source are credited and that individual graphics may be subject to special legal provisions.

checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) S_a

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

Datablock: S_a

Bond precision: C-C = 0.0035 A Wavelength=0.71073 Cell: a=13.790(4) b=15.024(5) c=15.179(5) alpha=90.003(4) beta=102.176(4) gamma=90.014(4) Temperature: 296 K Calculated Reported Volume 3074.1(17) 3074.0(16) Space group P -1 P -1 Hall group -P 1 -P 1 ? Moiety formula C38 H36 N2 O4 Sum formula C38 H36 N2 O4 C76 H70 N4 O8 Mr 584.69 1167.36 Dx,g cm-3 1.263 1.261 2 Ζ 4 Mu (mm-1) 0.082 0.082 F000 1240.0 1236.0 F000′ 1240.54 h,k,lmax 16,17,17 15,17,17 Nref 10307 9977 0.994,0.998 0.763,0.846 Tmin,Tmax Tmin' 0.990 Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.763 Tmax=0.846 AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN Data completeness= 0.968 Theta(max) = 24.563R(reflections) = 0.0626(7141) wR2(reflections) = 0.1769(9977) S = 0.942Npar= 803

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

🔍 Alert level B

| PLAT112_ | ALERT_2_1 | 3 ADDSYM | Detects N | Jew (Pseud | o) Symm. | Elem | a | 100 | %Fit |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| PLAT113_ | ALERT_2_1 | B ADDSYM | Suggests | Possible | Pseudo/Ne | ew Space | Group | P21/c | Check |

Alert level C

ABSTY02_ALERT_1_C An _exptl_absorpt_correction_type has been given without a literature citation. This should be contained in the _exptl_absorpt_process_details field. Absorption correction given as multi-scan RINTA01_ALERT_3_C The value of Rint is greater than 0.12 Rint given 0.147 THETM01_ALERT_3_C The value of sine(theta_max)/wavelength is less than 0.590 Calculated sin(theta_max)/wavelength = 0.5849 PLAT029_ALERT_3_C _diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full value Low . 0.968 Why? PLAT041_ALERT_1_C Calc. and Reported SumFormula Strings Differ Please Check 1.01 Check PLAT043_ALERT_1_C Calculated and Reported Mol. Weight Differ by ... PLAT068_ALERT_1_C Reported F000 Differs from Calcd (or Missing)... Please Check PLAT220_ALERT_2_C NonSolvent Resd 1 C Ueq(max)/Ueq(min) Range 3.4 Ratio PLAT220_ALERT_2_C NonSolvent Resd 2 C Ueq(max)/Ueq(min) Range 3.3 Ratio PLAT222_ALERT_3_C NonSolvent Resd 1 H Uiso(max)/Uiso(min) Range 4.3 Ratio PLAT222_ALERT_3_C NonSolvent Resd 2 H Uiso(max)/Uiso(min) Range 4.1 Ratio PLAT250_ALERT_2_C Large U3/U1 Ratio for Average U(i,j) Tensor 2.2 Note PLAT905_ALERT_3_C Negative K value in the Analysis of Variance ... -1.590 Report PLAT911_ALERT_3_C Missing FCF Refl Between Thmin & STh/L= 0.585 312 Report

Alert level G

FORMU01_ALERT_2_G There is a discrepancy between the atom counts in the _chemical_formula_sum and the formula from the _atom_site* data. Atom count from _chemical_formula_sum:C76 H70 N4 O8 Atom count from the _atom_site data: C76 H72 N4 O8 CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G Difference between formula and atom_site contents detected. CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G ALERT: Large difference may be due to a symmetry error - see SYMMG tests From the CIF: _cell_formula_units_Z 2 From the CIF: _chemical_formula_sum C76 H70 N4 O8 TEST: Compare cell contents of formula and atom_site data Z*formula cif sites diff atom С 152.00 152.00 0.00 Н 140.00 144.00 -4.00 8.00 8.00 0.00 Ν 0 16.00 16.00 0.00 PLAT007_ALERT_5_G Number of Unrefined Donor-H Atoms 2 Report PLAT020_ALERT_3_G The Value of Rint is Greater Than 0.12 0.147 Report PLAT045_ALERT_1_G Calculated and Reported Z Differ by a Factor ... 2.00 Check PLAT154_ALERT_1_G The s.u.'s on the Cell Angles are Equal .. (Note) 0.004 Degree PLAT793_ALERT_4_G Model has Chirality at C5 (Centro SPGR) R Verify PLAT793_ALERT_4_G Model has Chirality at C6 (Centro SPGR) R Verify PLAT793_ALERT_4_G Model has Chirality at C7 (Centro SPGR) R Verify PLAT793_ALERT_4_G Model has Chirality at C17 (Centro SPGR) R Verify PLAT793_ALERT_4_G Model has Chirality at C43 (Centro SPGR) R Verify (Centro SPGR) PLAT793_ALERT_4_G Model has Chirality at C44 R Verify (Centro SPGR) PLAT793_ALERT_4_G Model has Chirality at C45 R Verify PLAT793_ALERT_4_G Model has Chirality at C53 (Centro SPGR) R Verify PLAT883_ALERT_1_G No Info/Value for _atom_sites_solution_primary . Please Do ! PLAT909_ALERT_3_G Percentage of I>2sig(I) Data at Theta(Max) Still 49% Note 2.1 Low PLAT941_ALERT_3_G Average HKL Measurement Multiplicity PLAT965_ALERT_2_G The SHELXL WEIGHT Optimisation has not Converged Please Check PLAT978_ALERT_2_G Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density. 3 Info

```
0 ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
2 ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
14 ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
21 ALERT level G = General information/check it is not something unexpected
9 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
8 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
10 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
8 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
2 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
```

Validation response form

Please find below a validation response form (VRF) that can be filled in and pasted into your CIF.

```
# start Validation Reply Form
_vrf_ABSTY02_S_a
PROBLEM: An _exptl_absorpt_correction_type has been given without
RESPONSE: ...
_vrf_RINTA01_S_a
;
PROBLEM: The value of Rint is greater than 0.12
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_THETM01_S_a
;
PROBLEM: The value of sine(theta_max)/wavelength is less than 0.590
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT112_S_a
;
PROBLEM: ADDSYM Detects New (Pseudo) Symm. Elem a 100 %Fit
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT113_S_a
PROBLEM: ADDSYM Suggests Possible Pseudo/New Space Group P21/c Check
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT029_S_a
PROBLEM: _diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full value Low . 0.968 Why?
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT041_S_a
;
PROBLEM: Calc. and Reported SumFormula Strings Differ Please Check
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT043_S_a
PROBLEM: Calculated and Reported Mol. Weight Differ by .. 1.01 Check
RESPONSE: ...
_vrf_PLAT068_S_a
```

PROBLEM: Reported F000 Differs from Calcd (or Missing)... Please Check RESPONSE: ... _vrf_PLAT220_S_a ; PROBLEM: NonSolvent Resd 1 C Ueq(max)/Ueq(min) Range 3.4 Ratio RESPONSE: ... _vrf_PLAT222_S_a ; PROBLEM: NonSolvent Resd 1 H Uiso(max)/Uiso(min) Range 4.3 Ratio RESPONSE: ... _vrf_PLAT250_S_a PROBLEM: Large U3/U1 Ratio for Average U(i,j) Tensor 2.2 Note RESPONSE: ... ; _vrf_PLAT905_S_a ; PROBLEM: Negative K value in the Analysis of Variance ... -1.590 Report RESPONSE: ... ; _vrf_PLAT911_S_a PROBLEM: Missing FCF Refl Between Thmin & STh/L= 0.585 312 Report RESPONSE: ... # end Validation Reply Form

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica, Journal of Applied Crystallography, Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

PLATON version of 05/12/2020; check.def file version of 05/12/2020

Datablock S_a - ellipsoid plot

